BEFORE THE 1 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD 2 STATE OF WASHINGTON IN THE MATTER OF 3 HARRISON L. SMITH, 4 Appellant, 5 PCHB No. 78-261 v. 6 FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, SOUTHWEST AIR POLLUTION CONCLUSIONS OF LAW 7 CONTROL AUTHORITY, AND ORDER 8 Respondent. 9

THIS MATTER the appeal of a \$25 civil penalty for outdoor burning allegedly in violation of respondent's Section 4.01 of Regulation I having come on regularly for formal hearing on the 9th day of March, 1979 in Longview, Washington, and appellant, Harrison L Smith, appearing and representing himself and respondent, Southwest Air Pollution Control Authority, appearing through its attorney, James D Ladley with William A Harrison, hearing examiner presiding, and the Board having considered the exhibits, the records and files herein and having reviewed the Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order of the presiding

WAH/LB

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

officer mailed to the parties on the 16th day of March, 1979, and more than twenty days having elapsed from said service, and

The Board having received no exceptions to said Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order and the Board being fully advised in the premises; now therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order dated the 15th day of March, 1979, and incorporated by reference herein and attached hereto as Exhibit A, are adopted and hereby entered as the Board's Final Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order herein

DONE at Lacey, Washington this 1675 day of April, 1979.

POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD

DAVE J. MOON

CHRIS SMITH, Member

David War

DAVID AKANA, Member

CERTIFICATION OF MAILING 1 2 I, LaRene Barlin, certify that I mailed, postage prepaid, copies of the foregoing document on the _____ /7 th day of 3 ____, 1979, to each of the following-named parties 4 at the last known post office addresses, with the proper postage affixed 5 6 to the respective envelopes: Mr Harrison L. Smith 7 4508 N.E. 41st Street Vancouver, Washington 98661 8 Mr. James D. Ladley 9 Attorney at Law P. O. Box 938 10 Vancouver, Washington 98666 11 Southwest Air Pollution Control Authority 7601-H Northeast Hazel Dell Avenue 12Vancouver, Washington 98665 14 15 LARENE BARLIN, 16 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD 17 18 19 20 2122 23 2425

AND ORDER

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

BEFORE THE 1 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD 2 STATE OF WASHINGTON 3 IN THE MATTER OF HARRISON L. SMITH, 4 PCHB No. 78-261 Appellant, 5 FINDINGS OF FACT, v. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW 6 SOUTHWEST AIR POLLUTION AND ORDER 7 CONTROL AUTHORITY, 8 Respondent. 9

This matter, the appeal of a \$25 civil penalty for outdoor burning allegedly in violation of respondent's Section 4.01 of Regulation I, came before the Pollution Control Hearings Board on March 9, 1979 in Longview, Washington. Hearing examiner William A. Harrison presided alone. Appellant appeared and represented himself. Respondent was represented by its attorney, James D. Ladley. Olympia reporter Marilyn Hoban recorded the proceedings. Respondent elected a formal hearing pursuant to RCW 43.21B.230.

Witnesses were sworn and testified. Exhibits were examined.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

From testimony heard and exhibits examined, the Pollution Control Hearings Board makes these

FINDINGS OF FACT

Ι

Respondent, pursuant to RCW 43.21B.260, has filed with this Board a certified copy of its Regulation I containing respondent's regulations and amendments thereto of which official notice is taken.

ΙI

Appellant, Harrison L. Smith, is a building subcontractor. At times pertinent to this appeal he was working on a "spec." house which was under construction at 6407 Northeast 70th Street, Vancouver. Just prior to the events in question in this appeal, and during the cold weather of November, 1978, appellant telephoned his local fire department (Fire Protection District No. 6) and inquired whether it was permissible to build a small warming fire on the construction site. The fire official answering the inquiry authorized the fire and said that he did not believe respondent would require a written permit for such a small fire.

On November 13, 1978, in reliance upon advice received from his local fire department, appellant ignited a small warming fire on the construction site. The fire consisted of tree limbs gathered on the site and was approximately two feet in diameter and one foot high. The fire was not used to dispose of any construction materials since these were hauled from the site for disposal elsewhere.

III

At 9:30 a.m. on the same date, November 13, 1978, respondent's FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER 2

inspector observed the fire as described aboved. He issued a field notice of violation to appellant, and appellant later received a Notice of Violation assessing a \$25 civil penalty. From this appellant appeals.

IV

Appellant has no prior record of violating any of respondent's regulations.

v

Any Conclusion of Law which should be deemed a Finding of Fact is hereby adopted as such.

From these Findings, the Pollution Control Hearings Board comes to these

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Ι

Section 4.01 of respondent's Regulation I prohibits warming fires to facilitate work on a construction site unless the respondent has issued a prior written permit. Since he had obtained no written permit from respondent, appellant violated respondent's Section 4.01 of Regulation I on November 13, 1978.

Section 2.10 of respondent's Regulation I authorizes the assessment of a maximum penalty of \$250 for each such violation.

ΙI

The Pollution Control Hearings Board has established a policy that the good faith efforts of private citizens to comply with regulatory provisions cannot be ignored by the regulatory agency involved and such effort will be considered by this Board. Lloyds of Washington, Inc. v

27 | FINDINGS OF FACT,

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

PSAPCA, PCHB Nos. 868 and 869. The good faith efforts of appellant 1 2 Smith and the misleading nature of information given him by a responsible public official are uncontroverted in this case. This 3 4 condition coupled with appellant's prior record of no violation of 5 respondent's regulations should result in mitigation of the penalty by 6 suspension. 7 III Any Finding of Fact which should be deemed a Conclusion of Law 8 9 is hereby adopted as such. 10 From these Conclusions, the Board enters this 11 ORDER 12 The \$25 civil penalty is affirmed; provided however, that it is suspended on condition that appellant not violate respondent's 1 14 regulations for a period of six months from the date of appellant's 15 receipt of this Order. day of March, 1979. 16 DATED this POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD 17 18 19 WILLIAM A. HARRISON 20 Presiding Officer 21 2223 24 25 46 FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

4

AND ORDER